

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,621	09/15/2003	Michael S. Williams	9362-4	9764	
20792 75	90 07/15/2005		EXAMINER		
	EL SIBLEY & SAJOVE	LEVY, NEIL S			
PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
idilizion, ne	2.027		1615	•	

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/662,621	WILLIAMS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NEIL LEVY	1615		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	NEIL LEVY	1615						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date					
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS /								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>2-8,10,11,14,16,21,23,24 and 26</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>8,10,21 and 24.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9,11-20,22,23,25 and 26.</u>								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
B.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is pressed.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		ering to below or attac	arica.					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by reconsideration poses realization that what is claimed in the request for reconsideration poses.	s a method, and at the very least, (							
results as claimed would be necessitated by the processes of Greinere  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
13. Other:								
Coe								
PRIMARY EXAMINER								
	PRIM	MARY EXAMINACIO						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: 112 issues exist-liposomes are not seen as polymeric materials(claims 14,26).